# **Banking Presentation**

#### What is a Bank?

 The role of government is to provide public infrastructure for further public purpose

- The national payments system is an element of public infrastructure.
- Banking functions to provide access to the payments system.
- Checking accounts, wire transfers, check cashing?
- Savings accounts?

- The liability side of banking is not the place for market discipline
- Public purpose is served by FDIC insurance for bank accounts.

- Should banks engage in lending?
- What can a bank do that serves public purpose that private lenders can't do?

- Lending based on credit analysis rather than market value requires govt. insured liabilities
- Private firms fund themselves based on the market value of their loans
- Therefore banks can lend on credit analysis
- Does this serve public purpose?
- Business loans, home loans, car loans?

- Should banks be publicly owned or privately owned?
- The difference is whether risk is priced by the public sector or the private sector.
- The public purpose of capital ratios is to have a tool to alter the pricing of risk.
- Protecting tax payer money is a secondary function.

- There is no public purpose served by allowing banks to sell their loans.
- There is no public purpose in selling credit default risk when loans are based on credit analysis
- There is no public purpose for banks engaging in any secondary market activity.
- There is no public purpose to proprietary trading.

- There is no public purpose in engaging in any secondary market activity.
- The argument 'it didn't cause the crisis so why should we prohibit it' makes no sense.
- The argument that an activity should be permitted solely because it would be profitable and protect taxpayer money makes no sense.

 Banking should be a lot more limited than even restoring Glass-Steagall implies.

 The number of regulators needed increases geometrically with expanded bank activities.

#### **Understanding TARP**

#### **Assumptions:**

- 10% required Capital Ratio
- A bank with \$100 billion in private capital loses half of it.
- Only \$50 billion in capital remains.
- The Government does not want to shut it down

- Treasury Secretary Paulson recommends the US Treasury purchase/inject \$50 billion of capital into the troubled bank.
- Obama flies in to rally the Democrats
- McCain flies in to rally the Republicans
- The DOW moves 1000 points a day
- President Bush says we are doomed if it doesn't pass
- Millions of jobs are lost

- The bill is passed!
- The Treasury buys \$50 billion of capital in the troubled bank
- Terms and conditions are imposed
- The bank again has \$100 billion of capital (10% of assets)
- The world is saved!

But what has actually changed?

- Before TARP the bank had \$50 billion of capital left after taking its losses
- The FDIC wanted to shut it down because after it lost the next \$50 billion, the FDIC would have to write the check to cover additional losses.

#### After TARP:

- The bank still has only \$50 billion in private capital to cover additional losses.
- The Treasury has to write the check for the next \$50 billion in losses.

- What's the difference between the Treasury writing the check or the FDIC writing the check after the remaining \$50 billion of private capital is lost?
- The FDIC and the Treasury are both agents of the same govt.
- Functionally, there is no difference.

 All the TARP did was allow the bank to continue operations as usual with \$50 billion in private capital rather than with the required \$100 billion in capital.

- The TARP was identical to what is called 'regulatory forbearance.'
- In fact, no Federal moneys were 'spent' as banks are not liquidity constrained in any case.
- The TARP did not add to aggregate demand.

- There was no need to have Congress pass TARP to allow failed banks to continue to function
- There was no reason to expend the enormous amounts of political capital and give the appearance that \$billion were being spent.
- This shows no one in Congress, the Fed, the Treasury, the Administration, or private economists understood banking fundamentals.

- Unemployment is people looking for paid work who can't find it.
- Unemployment is the evidence of a deficiency of aggregate demand.
- When government spending is insufficient to cover the need to pay taxes and net save financial assets, the evidence is unemployment.

- Unemployment can always be quickly eliminated by a fiscal adjustment.
- The government can simply hire the unemployed.
- The government can cut taxes until the private sector hires the unemployed.

- A fiscal adjustment was the correct response to high unemployment.
- It should have been done no later than August 2008.
- If done at that time in sufficient size the financial crisis would not have spilled over from Wall St. to Main St.

- The belief that the financial sector needs to be fixed first has proven itself wrong.
- In fact, the financial sector makes its profits from a prosperous 'real' sector.

 Persistently high and rising unemployment meant the US fiscal adjustment was insufficient to restore output and employment.

- The stimulus program turned out to be too little too late.
- A further adjustment can be made at any time.
- Unfortunately our government mistakenly thinks it has 'run out of money'
- It mistakenly thinks spending now requires we borrow from the likes of China to leave to our children to pay back.

#### What Can be Done?

- My first proposal continues to be for a full "payroll tax (FICA) holiday"
- All FICA payments are to be made by the Treasury on behalf of all employees and employers.
- This will restore take home pay to levels where sales, output, and employment will rapidly return to full employment levels.

#### How do we Pay for It?

- Government spending is not revenue constrained
- Government taxes by changing numbers down in our bank accounts.
- Government spends by changing numbers up in our bank accounts.

#### How do we Pay for It? (cont.)

(SCOTT PELLEY) Is that tax money that the Fed is spending?

(CHAIRMAN BERNANKE) It's not tax money. The banks have accounts with the Fed, much the same way that you have an account in a commercial bank. So, to lend to a bank, we simply use the computer to mark up the size of the account that they have with the Fed.

#### How do we Pay for It? (cont.)

- The Fed Chairman is correct.
- All Federal spending is done simply by marking numbers up with their computer.
- The same way the bowling alley gives you your score.
- It doesn't 'come from' anywhere.
- There is no such thing as the Federal government 'running out of money.'

#### What about China?

- China gets dollars from selling things to us.
- Those dollars go into China's checking account at the Fed (Federal Reserve Bank).
- Treasury securities are savings accounts at the Fed.
- When China buys Treasury securities their dollars are transferred from their checking account to their savings account at the Fed.
- To pay China back we transfer their dollars from their savings account to their checking account.

## So Why does the Federal Government Tax?

- Federal taxes function to regulate aggregate demand, not to raise revenues per se.
- Federal Taxation is like the thermostat
- If the economy is ice cold, like it is today, and government is at the 'right size,' a tax cut is required to bring it up to operating temperature.

#### **Trade**

- Exports are always 'real' costs
- Imports are always 'real' benefits
- Economics is the opposite of religion
- It is better to receive than to give.

#### Trade (cont.)

The real wealth of our nation is:

- All it can produce domestically
- + all it can import
- all it exports

#### Trade (cont.)

- The trick is to keep taxes and Federal spending at the right level
- so that we have enough money to buy all we can produce domestically at full employment
- and buy all the rest of the world wants to sell us.

#### Trade (cont.)

- Unfortunately, we don't respond to an increase in imports with an appropriate tax cut or spending increase
- and the result is unemployment
- as we turn a good thing into a bad thing